Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,915	NIEMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeff Wollschlager	1732
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>		
(1) <u>Jeff Wollschlager</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Todd Wight.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 February 2007	Time: phone message	<u>s</u>
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 9 and 13		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
	•	
11 Wal-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Application No: 10/772,915

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to propose an examiner's amendment that would place the application into condition for allowance. The proposed amendment was to bring an amended version of claim 6 into claim 1 and an amended version of claim 13 into claim 9. Before making a decision on the proposed examiner's amendment, applicant requested a more in-depth interview to discuss the rejections in the case. Following the requested interview, which took place on March 5, 2007 (see prosecution history for the content of this interview), applicant's representative confirmed applicant's acceptance of the proposed examiner's amendment to the claims.